

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,762	01/31/2001	Kent Carlyle St. Vrain	8426/LS	5562
20349 75	590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
POLAROID CORPORATION			WINTER, JOHN M	
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT		<u> </u>	
1265 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WALTHAM, MA 02451			3621	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100		
Advisory Action	09/774,762	VRAIN, KENT CAR	LYLE ST.		
Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John M Winter	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment whicled (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply	to a tion in		
	<u>PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	•			
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered a w or appended.	nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:	•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-14</u> .	,				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by-th	se Examiner			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	/ /				
10. Other:		JAPAES P. TRAS			
		UPERVISORY PATERI	ENTARCONCO		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amending the preamble of Claim 11 is insufficient to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection because there is not a substantial interrelation between the preamble and the body of the claim..